

## Seamons, Colleen

---

**From:** Harry Anderson [woodgoods1@exemail.com.au]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 24 February 2010 4:53 PM  
**To:** submissions  
**Subject:** "submission Regarding Proposal P1007- Primary Production and Processing Requirements for Raw Milk Products"

**Categories:** Blue Category

Sally Anderson

Administrator

430 Princes H'way Corrimal, NSW 2518

0404275373

[sallyanderson\\_22@yahoo.com.au](mailto:sallyanderson_22@yahoo.com.au)

*Submission regarding Proposal P1007 - Primary Production & Processing Requirements For Raw Milk Products*

*Overarching questions:*

1) *The overarching scope of the Proposal is assessing the safety of raw milk products using the Category Framework. FSANZ has undertaken a Technical Assessment based on three Risk Assessments (Raw Cow Milk, Raw Goat Milk and Raw Milk Cheese), a Consumer Study and Nutrition Assessment - Can you identify any aspects we have not covered at this point?*

*The Proposals exaggerate the risks of raw milk products.*

*They state that "Because of the potential for raw milk to be contaminated with pathogens, raw milk and products made from raw milk present a high level of risk to public health and safety if there are no control measures to manage the microbiological hazards that may be present."*

*It is a false assumption that the risks are "high level" for raw milk products. A more realistic description for raw milk products is "they present an additional risk to public health and safety compared with products made from correctly pasteurised milk".*

2) *We have summarised the impacts by option in Table 1 in the Report. Do you have any comments on the overall assessment? Can you identify other benefits and costs to the affected parties?*

*For raw milk cheese, the overall assessment seems to be far more alarmist than the technical assessment suggests. I consider that the technical assessment indicates that all soft cheese should be placed in Category 2, reserving Category 3 for raw drinking milk alone.*